

Task-Space Inverse Dynamics: Implementation

Quadratic-Programming based Control for Legged Robots

Andrea Del Prete

University of Trento

Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. Details
3. Python Example
4. Exercises

Introduction

This document explains the implementation of the control framework **Task-Space Inverse Dynamics** (TSID).

¹<https://github.com/stack-of-tasks/tsid>

This document explains the implementation of the control framework **Task-Space Inverse Dynamics** (TSID).

To simplify the job we rely on the open-source C++ library **TSID**¹.

¹<https://github.com/stack-of-tasks/tsid>

This document explains the implementation of the control framework **Task-Space Inverse Dynamics** (TSID).

To simplify the job we rely on the open-source C++ library **TSID**¹.

TSID (currently) relies on:

- **Eigen** for linear algebra
- **Pinocchio** for multi-body dynamics computations
- **Eiquadprog** for solving Quadratic Programs

¹<https://github.com/stack-of-tasks/tsid>

CONS

- Not mature (Feb 2017)
- Many missing features you may need for your application
 - Hierarchy
 - Fixed-base robots
 - Joint pos-vel limits
 - Actuation limits
 - Bilateral contacts
 - Line contacts
 - ...

Main features: Pros & Cons

CONS

- Not mature (Feb 2017)
- Many missing features you may need for your application
 - Hierarchy
 - Fixed-base robots
 - Joint pos-vel limits
 - Actuation limits
 - Bilateral contacts
 - Line contacts
 - ...

PROS

- Efficient (<0.6 ms for humanoid)
- Tested in simulation & on HRP-2
- Open source
- Modular design
 - \rightarrow easy to extend
- Python bindings
- No alternative (AFAIK)

Task

- Motion
- Force
- Actuation

Task

- Motion
- Force
- Actuation

Rigid Contact

- similar to Task, but
- associated to reaction forces

Task

- Motion
- Force
- Actuation

Rigid Contact

- similar to Task, but
- associated to reaction forces

Inverse Dynamics Formulation

- collects Tasks and RigidContacts
- translates them into HQP

Task

- Motion
- Force
- Actuation

Rigid Contact

- similar to Task, but
- associated to reaction forces

Inverse Dynamics Formulation

- collects Tasks and RigidContacts
- translates them into HQP

HQP Solver

- solves a HQP

Constraint

- affine function
- purely mathematical
- used to represent HQP

Constraint

- affine function
- purely mathematical
- used to represent HQP

Robot Wrapper

- contains robot model
- provides utility functions to compute robot quantities
- e.g., mass matrix, Jacobians

Constraint

- affine function
- purely mathematical
- used to represent HQP

Robot Wrapper

- contains robot model
- provides utility functions to compute robot quantities
- e.g., mass matrix, Jacobians

Trajectory

- maps time to vector values
- pos, vel, acc
- position and velocity can have different sizes (Lie groups)

Details

Robot Wrapper 1/2

Interface for computing robot-related quantities:

```
RobotWrapper(string filename, vector<string> package_dirs,  
             JointModelVariant rootJoint);
```

```
int nq(); // size of configuration vector q  
int nv(); // size of velocity vector v
```

```
Model & model(); // reference to robot model (Pinocchio)
```

```
// Compute all quantities and store them into data  
void computeAllTerms(Data &data, Vector q, Vector v);
```

Robot Wrapper 2/2

```
Vector rotor_inertias();  
Vector gear_ratios();  
  
Vector3 com(Data data);  
Vector3 com_vel(Data data);  
Vector3 com_acc(Data data);  
Matrix3x Jcom(Data data);  
  
Matrix mass(Data data);  
Vector nonLinearEffects(Data data);  
  
SE3 position(Data data, JointIndex index);  
Motion velocity(Data data, JointIndex index);  
Motion acceleration(Data data, JointIndex index);  
Matrix6x jacobianWorld(Data data, JointIndex index);  
Matrix6x jacobianLocal(Data data, JointIndex index);
```

- A linear (affine) function

ConstraintBase

- A linear (affine) function
- Purely mathematical object

ConstraintBase

- A linear (affine) function
- Purely mathematical object
- “Unaware” of what the function represents

Three kinds of constraints:

- A linear (affine) function
- Purely mathematical object
- “Unaware” of what the function represents

Three kinds of constraints:

- Equalities, represented by matrix A and vector a :

$$Ax = a$$

ConstraintBase

- A linear (affine) function
- Purely mathematical object
- “Unaware” of what the function represents

Three kinds of constraints:

- Equalities, represented by matrix A and vector a :

$$Ax = a$$

- Inequalities, represented by matrix A and vectors lb and ub :

$$lb \leq Ax \leq ub$$

ConstraintBase

- A linear (affine) function
- Purely mathematical object
- “Unaware” of what the function represents

Three kinds of constraints:

- Equalities, represented by matrix A and vector a :

$$Ax = a$$

- Inequalities, represented by matrix A and vectors lb and ub :

$$lb \leq Ax \leq ub$$

- Bounds, represented by vectors lb and ub :

$$lb \leq x \leq ub$$

ConstraintBase

```
ConstraintBase(string name, int rows, int cols);

bool isEquality();
bool isInequality();
bool isBound();

Matrix matrix();
Vector vector();
Vector lowerBound();
Vector upperBound();

bool setMatrix(Matrix A);
bool setVector(Vector b);
bool setLowerBound(Vector lb);
bool setUpperBound(Vector ub);

bool checkConstraint(Vector x);
```

TaskBase

Interface of TaskBase:

```
TaskBase(string name, Model model);
```

```
Constraint compute(double t, Vector q, Vector v, Data data);
```

TaskBase

Interface of TaskBase:

```
TaskBase(string name, Model model);
```

```
Constraint compute(double t, Vector q, Vector v, Data data);
```

Three kinds of task:

- `TaskMotion`: linear function of robot accelerations
- `TaskContactForce`: linear function of contact forces
- `TaskActuation`: linear function of joint torques

TaskBase

Interface of TaskBase:

```
TaskBase(string name, Model model);
```

```
Constraint compute(double t, Vector q, Vector v, Data data);
```

Three kinds of task:

- TaskMotion: linear function of robot accelerations
- TaskContactForce: linear function of contact forces
- TaskActuation: linear function of joint torques

Tasks can compute either:

- equality constraints, e.g., TaskComEquality, TaskJointPosture, TaskSE3Equality

TaskBase

Interface of TaskBase:

```
TaskBase(string name, Model model);
```

```
Constraint compute(double t, Vector q, Vector v, Data data);
```

Three kinds of task:

- TaskMotion: linear function of robot accelerations
- TaskContactForce: linear function of contact forces
- TaskActuation: linear function of joint torques

Tasks can compute either:

- equality constraints, e.g., TaskComEquality, TaskJointPosture, TaskSE3Equality
- bounds, e.g., TaskJointBounds (not implemented yet)

TaskBase

Interface of TaskBase:

```
TaskBase(string name, Model model);
```

```
Constraint compute(double t, Vector q, Vector v, Data data);
```

Three kinds of task:

- TaskMotion: linear function of robot accelerations
- TaskContactForce: linear function of contact forces
- TaskActuation: linear function of joint torques

Tasks can compute either:

- equality constraints, e.g., TaskComEquality, TaskJointPosture, TaskSE3Equality
- bounds, e.g., TaskJointBounds (not implemented yet)
- inequality constraints, e.g., friction cones

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

Motion task:

- represents motion constraint caused by rigid contact
- $J\dot{v}_q = -\dot{J}v_q$

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

Motion task:

- represents motion constraint caused by rigid contact
- $J\dot{v}_q = -Jv_q - K_p e - K_d \dot{e}$

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

Motion task:

- represents motion constraint caused by rigid contact
- $J\dot{v}_q = -Jv_q - K_p e - K_d \dot{e}$

Force task:

- represents inequality constraints acting on contact forces
- e.g., friction cone constraints
- $Af \leq a$

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

Force Regularization task:

- regularizes contact forces
- e.g., keep them close to friction cone center

ContactBase

Interface of ContactBase:

```
ContactBase(name, Kp, Kd, bodyName, regWeight);  
ConstraintBase computeMotionTask(t, q, v, data);  
InequalityConstraint computeForceTask(t, q, v, data);  
ConstraintBase computeForceRegularizationTask(t, q, v, data);  
Matrix computeForceGeneratorMatrix();
```

Force Regularization task:

- regularizes contact forces
- e.g., keep them close to friction cone center

Force-Generator matrix T :

- maps force variables to motion constraint representation
- Dynamic: $M\dot{v}_q + h = S^T \tau + J^T T f$
- Motion constraint: $J\dot{v}_q = -\dot{J}v_q$
- Friction cones: $Af \leq a$

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)

Contact6d

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

Contact6d

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)

Contact6d

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)

Contact6d

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface
 - **redundant representation**, e.g., 4-vertex surface \rightarrow 12 variables

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface
 - **redundant representation**, e.g., 4-vertex surface \rightarrow 12 variables
- redundancy is an issue for motion constraint if HQP solver does not handle **redundant constraints** (as eiQuadProg).

Contact6d

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface
 - **redundant representation**, e.g., 4-vertex surface \rightarrow 12 variables
- redundancy is an issue for motion constraint if HQP solver does not handle **redundant constraints** (as eiQuadProg).

SOLUTION

- use 6d representation for motion constraint $J\dot{v}_q = -\dot{J}v_q \in \mathbb{R}^6$

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface
 - **redundant representation**, e.g., 4-vertex surface \rightarrow 12 variables
- redundancy is an issue for motion constraint if HQP solver does not handle **redundant constraints** (as eiQuadProg).

SOLUTION

- use 6d representation for motion constraint $J\dot{v}_q = -\dot{J}v_q \in \mathbb{R}^6$
- but 12d representation for force variable $f \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$

- unilateral plane contact (polygonal shape)
- 6d motion constraint \rightarrow no motion allowed in any direction

PROBLEM

- minimal force representation would be 6d (3d force + 3d moment)
- hard to write friction constraints with 6d force representation (especially for non-rectangular contact shapes)
- easy to write friction constraints if reaction force represented as collection of 3d forces applied at vertices of contact surface
 - **redundant representation**, e.g., 4-vertex surface \rightarrow 12 variables
- redundancy is an issue for motion constraint if HQP solver does not handle **redundant constraints** (as eiQuadProg).

SOLUTION

- use 6d representation for motion constraint $J\dot{v}_q = -\dot{J}v_q \in \mathbb{R}^6$
- but 12d representation for force variable $f \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$
- force-generator matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 12}$ defines mapping between two representations: $\tau_{contact} = J^T T f$

InverseDynamicsFormulationBase

Central class of the whole library

InverseDynamicsFormulationBase

Central class of the whole library

Methods to add tasks:

```
addMotionTask(MotionTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

```
addForceTask(ForceTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

```
addTorqueTask(TorqueTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

InverseDynamicsFormulationBase

Central class of the whole library

Methods to add tasks:

```
addMotionTask(MotionTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addForceTask(ForceTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addTorqueTask(TorqueTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

Method to add rigid contacts:

```
addRigidContact(RigidContact contact);
```

InverseDynamicsFormulationBase

Central class of the whole library

Methods to add tasks:

```
addMotionTask(MotionTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addForceTask(ForceTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addTorqueTask(TorqueTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

Method to add rigid contacts:

```
addRigidContact(RigidContact contact);
```

Methods to convert TSID problem into (Hierarchical) QP:

```
HqpData computeProblemData(double time, Vector q, Vector v);
```

InverseDynamicsFormulationBase

Central class of the whole library

Methods to add tasks:

```
addMotionTask(MotionTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addForceTask(ForceTask task, double weight, int priority);  
addTorqueTask(TorqueTask task, double weight, int priority);
```

Method to add rigid contacts:

```
addRigidContact(RigidContact contact);
```

Methods to convert TSID problem into (Hierarchical) QP:

```
HqpData computeProblemData(double time, Vector q, Vector v);
```

HqpData defined as:

```
#typedef vector<pair<double, ConstraintBase>> ConstraintLevel  
#typedef vector<ConstraintLevel> HqpData
```

Using `InverseDynamicsFormulationBase` you get an `HqpData` object.

Using `InverseDynamicsFormulationBase` you get an `HqpData` object.
Then you need to solve this HQP.

Using `InverseDynamicsFormulationBase` you get an `HqpData` object.

Then you need to solve this HQP.

All HQP solvers implement this interface (`SolverHQPBase`):

```
void resize(int nVar, int nEq, int nIn);  
HqpOutput solve(HqpData data);
```

Using `InverseDynamicsFormulationBase` you get an `HqpData` object.

Then you need to solve this HQP.

All HQP solvers implement this interface (`SolverHQPBase`):

```
void resize(int nVar, int nEq, int nIn);  
HqpOutput solve(HqpData data);
```

`HqpOutput` is defined as:

```
class HqpOutput  
{  
    QpStatusFlag flag;  
    Vector x, lambda;  
}
```

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.
- All solvers based on EiQuadProg: a modified version of uQuadProg++ working with Eigen

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.
- All solvers based on EiQuadProg: a modified version of uQuadProg++ working with Eigen
- To improve efficiency, two optimized versions have been developed:

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.
- All solvers based on EiQuadProg: a modified version of uQuadProg++ working with Eigen
- To improve efficiency, two optimized versions have been developed:
 - EiquadprogRealTime: the fastest, but matrix sizes known at compile time

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.
- All solvers based on EiQuadProg: a modified version of uQuadProg++ working with Eigen
- To improve efficiency, two optimized versions have been developed:
 - EiquadprogRealTime: the fastest, but matrix sizes known at compile time
 - EiquadprogFast: dynamic matrix sizes (memory allocation performed only when resizing)

Available HQP Solvers

- Several solvers currently implemented
- None of them supports hierarchy
- → HQP problems can only have two hierarchy levels.
- All solvers based on EiQuadProg: a modified version of uQuadProg++ working with Eigen
- To improve efficiency, two optimized versions have been developed:
 - EiquadprogRealTime: the fastest, but matrix sizes known at compile time
 - EiquadprogFast: dynamic matrix sizes (memory allocation performed only when resizing)

Results on HRP-2's computer (very old):

60 variables, 18 equalities, 40 inequalities

*** PROFILING RESULTS [ms] (min - avg - max) ***

Eiquadprog 0.651 0.704 0.870

Eiquadprog Fast 0.563 0.605 0.810

Eiquadprog Real Time 0.543 0.592 0.712

active inequalities 16.0 19.8 26.0

Python Example

- Code snippets

- Code snippets
- **Biped** robot with both feet on the ground (double support)

- Code snippets
- **Biped** robot with both feet on the ground (double support)
- Control center of mass (**CoM**) for balance

- Code snippets
- **Biped** robot with both feet on the ground (double support)
- Control center of mass (**CoM**) for balance
- Control joint angles (**posture**) for whole-body stability

- Code snippets
- **Biped** robot with both feet on the ground (double support)
- Control center of mass (**CoM**) for balance
- Control joint angles (**posture**) for whole-body stability
- Good starting point before moving to more complex scenarios

Create Robot Wrapper

```
import pinocchio as se3
from tsid import RobotWrapper, ...

path = '/../models/romeo'
urdf = path + '/urdf/romeo.urdf'
vec = se3.StdVec_StdString()
vec.extend(item for item in path)
robot = RobotWrapper(urdf, vec, se3.JointModelFreeFlyer(),
                    False)
```

Create Inverse Dynamics Formulation

```
invdyn = InverseDynamicsFormulationAccForce("tsid", robot,  
                                             False)  
  
q = getNeutralConfigurationFromSrdf(robot.model(), srdf, False)  
v = matlib.zeros(robot.nv).T  
invdyn.computeProblemData(t, q, v)  
data = invdyn.data()
```

Create Contact

```
contactRF = Contact6d("contact_rfoot", robot, rf_frame_name,  
                      contact_points, contact_normal, mu,  
                      fMin, fMax, w_forceReg)  
  
contactRF.setKp(kp_contact * matlib.ones(6).T)  
contactRF.setKd(2*sqrt(kp_contact) * matlib.ones(6).T)  
  
rf_joint_id = robot.model().getJointId(rf_frame_name)  
H_rf_ref = robot.position(data, rf_joint_id)  
contactRF.setReference(H_rf_ref)  
  
invdyn.addRigidContact(contactRF)  
  
# repeat for other contact(s)
```

Create Center-of-Mass Task

```
comTask = TaskComEquality("task-com", robot)

comTask.setKp(kp_com * matlab.ones(3).T)
comTask.setKd(2*sqrt(kp_com) * matlab.ones(3).T)

invdyn.addMotionTask(comTask, w_com, 1, 0.0)
```

Create Posture Task

```
postureTask = TaskJointPosture("task-posture", robot)

postureTask.setKp(kp_posture*matlib.ones(robot.nv-6).T)
postureTask.setKd(2*sqrt(kp_posture)*matlib.ones(robot.nv-6).T)

invdyn.addMotionTask(postureTask, w_posture, 1, 0.0)
```

Create Reference Task Trajectories

```
com_ref = robot.com(data)
trajCom = TrajectoryEuclidianConstant("traj_com", com_ref)

q_ref = q[7:]
trajPosture = TrajectoryEuclidianConstant("traj_joint", q_ref)
```

Create HQP Solver

```
solver = SolverHQuadProg("qp solver")  
solver.resize(invdyn.nVar, invdyn.nEq, invdyn.nIn)
```

Control Loop

```
for i in range(0, N_SIMULATION_STEPS):
    comTask.setReference(trajCom.computeNext())
    postureTask.setReference(trajPosture.computeNext())

    # get current state estimation
    (q, v) = ...

    HQPData = invdyn.computeProblemData(t, q, v)

    sol = solver.solve(HQPData)
    tau = invdyn.getActuatorForces(sol)

    # send desired joint torques (tau) to actuators
    ...
```

Simulation Loop

```
for i in range(0, N_SIMULATION_STEPS):  
    ...  
  
    # assuming perfect torque-acceleration tracking...  
    dv = invdyn.getAccelerations(sol)  
  
    # integrate desired accelerations  
    q = se3.integrate(robot.model(), q, dt*v)  
    v += dt*dv  
  
    # increase time  
    t += dt
```

Exercises

Exercise 1: CoM Set-Point Regulation

- Run provided example (`tsid/exercizes/ex_1.py`) and check that the robot does not move

Exercise 1: CoM Set-Point Regulation

- Run provided example (`tsid/exercizes/ex_1.py`) and check that the robot does not move
- Change **references** of CoM/posture and look what happens

Exercise 1: CoM Set-Point Regulation

- Run provided example (`tsid/exercizes/ex_1.py`) and check that the robot does not move
- Change **references** of CoM/posture and look what happens
- Change CoM/posture **gains** and see effect

Exercise 1: CoM Set-Point Regulation

- Run provided example (`tsid/exercizes/ex_1.py`) and check that the robot does not move
- Change **references** of CoM/posture and look what happens
- Change CoM/posture **gains** and see effect
- Change CoM/posture **weights** and see effect

Exercise 1: CoM Set-Point Regulation

- Run provided example (`tsid/exercizes/ex_1.py`) and check that the robot does not move
- Change **references** of CoM/posture and look what happens
- Change CoM/posture **gains** and see effect
- Change CoM/posture **weights** and see effect
- Set reference CoM outside support polygon (e.g., 20 cm to the side), what happens? Why?

Exercise 2: CoM Sinusoidal Tracking

- Run provided code (`tsid/exercizes/ex_2.py`) and check the sinusoidal reference CoM tracking

Exercise 2: CoM Sinusoidal Tracking

- Run provided code (`tsid/exercizes/ex_2.py`) and check the sinusoidal reference CoM tracking
- Increase CoM frequency until tracking gets bad. Why does that happen?

Exercise 2: CoM Sinusoidal Tracking

- Run provided code (`tsid/exercizes/ex_2.py`) and check the sinusoidal reference CoM tracking
- Increase CoM frequency until tracking gets bad. Why does that happen?
- Set contact feedback gains to zero, what happens? Why?

Exercise 2: CoM Sinusoidal Tracking

- Run provided code (`tsid/exercizes/ex_2.py`) and check the **sinusoidal reference** CoM tracking
- Increase CoM **frequency** until tracking gets bad. Why does that happen?
- Set contact feedback gains to zero, what happens? Why?
- **Add contact** on hand

Exercise 3: Taking a Step

- Extend code to make robot **take a step** (solution in `tsid/demo/demo_romeo.py`)