## **Robust Task-Space Inverse Dynamics**

Mathematical Details

Andrea Del Prete

University of Trento

These slides explain the mathematical details of the robust optimization problems solved in "Robustness to Joint-Torque Tracking Errors in Task-Space Inverse Dynamics" [1].

- 1. Worst-Case Robust Least-Squares
- 2. Stochastic Least-Squares

# Worst-Case Robust Least-Squares

• Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$ 

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $au = au^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $au = au^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau=\tau^{\mathit{des}}+e$
- Assume torque tracking error *e* belongs to set *U*
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  use hyper-rectangle as uncertainty set

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  use hyper-rectangle as uncertainty set
- $e \in U$ ,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \le e^{max}\}$

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  use hyper-rectangle as uncertainty set
- $e \in U$ ,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \le e^{max}\}$
- $e = \tau^{des} \tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is torque tracking error

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error *e* belongs to set *U*
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  use hyper-rectangle as uncertainty set
- $e \in U$ ,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \le e^{max}\}$
- $e = \tau^{des} \tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is torque tracking error
- $e^{max} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is maximum torque tracking error

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

minimize 
$$||Ax - a||^2$$
  
subject to  $B(x + e) + b \ge 0 \quad \forall e \in U$ 

• no uncertainty in cost function to avoid too conservative behavior

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

- no uncertainty in cost function to avoid too conservative behavior
- problem not tractable in this form because of infinite number of constraints

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

- no uncertainty in cost function to avoid too conservative behavior
- problem not tractable in this form because of infinite number of constraints
- beware of potential infeasibility: there may be no x satisfying constraints for any *e*

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b \ge 0 \quad \forall e: |e| \le e^{max}$$

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b \ge 0 \quad \forall e: |e| \le e^{max}$$

• as a finite number of constraints:

$$\min_{e:|e| \le e^{max}} \left[ B_i(x+e) + b_i \right] \ge 0 \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b \ge 0 \quad \forall e: |e| \le e^{max}$$

• as a finite number of constraints:

$$\min_{e:|e| \le e^{max}} [B_i(x+e) + b_i] \ge 0 \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$

•  $B_i$  is *i*-th row of B

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b \ge 0 \quad \forall e: |e| \le e^{max}$$

• as a finite number of constraints:

$$\min_{e:|e| \le e^{max}} [B_i(x+e) + b_i] \ge 0 \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$

- $B_i$  is *i*-th row of B
- Interpretation if (and only if) inequality satisfied for minimum over all possible uncertainties → satisfied for all possible uncertainties

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b\geq 0 \quad \forall e: |e|\leq e^{max}$$

• as a finite number of constraints:

$$\min_{e:|e| \le e^{max}} [B_i(x+e) + b_i] \ge 0 \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$

- $B_i$  is *i*-th row of B
- Interpretation if (and only if) inequality satisfied for minimum over all possible uncertainties → satisfied for all possible uncertainties
- Rewrite as:

$$B_i x - |B_i| e^{max} + b_i \ge 0$$

• Rewrite infinite number of constraints:

$$B(x+e)+b\geq 0 \quad \forall e: |e|\leq e^{max}$$

• as a finite number of constraints:

$$\min_{e:|e| \le e^{max}} [B_i(x+e) + b_i] \ge 0 \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$

- B<sub>i</sub> is *i*-th row of B
- Interpretation if (and only if) inequality satisfied for minimum over all possible uncertainties → satisfied for all possible uncertainties
- Rewrite as:

$$B_i x - |B_i|e^{max} + b_i \ge 0$$

• Geometric interpretation: do not check inequality for all values of *U*, but only for worst corner

• Reformulate Robust LS

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

• Reformulate Robust LS

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

• as Standard LS:

minimize 
$$||Ax - a||^2$$
  
subject to  $Bx - |B|e^{max} + b \ge 0$ 

• Reformulate Robust LS

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x + e) + b \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in U \end{array}$$

• as Standard LS:

minimize 
$$||Ax - a||^2$$
  
subject to  $Bx - |B|e^{max} + b \ge 0$ 

• where |B| contains absolute values of elements of B

• Problem may be infeasible

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible
- introduce slack variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to continuously morph from robust to classic constraints:

minimize  $||Ax - a||^2 - ws$ subject to  $Bx - |B|e^{max}s + b \ge 0$ 0 < s < 1,

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible
- introduce slack variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to continuously morph from robust to classic constraints:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x,s}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 - ws\\ \text{subject to} & Bx - |B|e^{max}s + b \geq 0\\ & 0 < s < 1, \end{array}$ 

• where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  is large value (e.g.,  $10^6$ )

Interpretation

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible
- introduce slack variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to continuously morph from robust to classic constraints:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x,s}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 - ws\\ \text{subject to} & Bx - |B|e^{max}s + b \geq 0\\ & 0 \leq s \leq 1, \end{array}$ 

• where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  is large value (e.g.,  $10^6$ )

#### Interpretation

• If possible set s=1 
ightarrow robust constraints

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible
- introduce slack variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to continuously morph from robust to classic constraints:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x,s}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 - ws\\ \text{subject to} & Bx - |B|e^{max}s + b \geq 0\\ & 0 < s < 1, \end{array}$$

• where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  is large value (e.g.,  $10^6$ )

#### Interpretation

- If possible set s=1 
  ightarrow robust constraints
- Otherwise decrease s as little as possible to make constraints feasible

- Problem may be infeasible
- IDEA be as robust as possible
- introduce slack variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to continuously morph from robust to classic constraints:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x,s}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 - ws\\ \text{subject to} & Bx - |B|e^{max}s + b \geq 0\\ & 0 < s < 1, \end{array}$ 

• where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  is large value (e.g.,  $10^6$ )

#### Interpretation

- If possible set s=1 
  ightarrow robust constraints
- Otherwise decrease s as little as possible to make constraints feasible
- If necessary set s=0 
  ightarrow standard constraints

• Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{\textit{des}} + e$ 

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $au= au^{\textit{des}}+e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Model U as hyperplane, i.e.  $|e| \le e^{max}$

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Model U as hyperplane, i.e.  $|e| \leq e^{max}$
- Robust problem is intractable, but

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Model U as hyperplane, i.e.  $|e| \le e^{max}$
- Robust problem is intractable, but
- reformulate it as standard Least-Squares

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume torque tracking error e belongs to set U
- Model U as hyperplane, i.e.  $|e| \le e^{max}$
- Robust problem is intractable, but
- reformulate it as standard Least-Squares
- Handle infeasibility by introducing slack variable

# **Stochastic Least-Squares**

• Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $au= au^{\textit{des}}+e$ 

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume errors at different joints are independent from each other
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- Decoupled covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \dots & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix})$

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e)-a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e)+b \geq 0 \end{array}$ 

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e) - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e) + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

• e is random variable  $\rightarrow$  cost and constraints are random variables  $\rightarrow$  problem does not make sense

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e) - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e) + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- e is random variable  $\rightarrow$  cost and constraints are random variables  $\rightarrow$  problem does not make sense
- IDEA Minimize expected value of cost, but *e* has zero mean  $\rightarrow$  nothing changed:

$$\mathbf{E}||A(x+e)-a||^2 = ||Ax-a||^2 + \mathsf{Tr}(A^\top A\Sigma)$$

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e) - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e) + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- e is random variable  $\rightarrow$  cost and constraints are random variables  $\rightarrow$  problem does not make sense
- IDEA Minimize expected value of cost, but *e* has zero mean  $\rightarrow$  nothing changed:

$$E||A(x + e) - a||^2 = ||Ax - a||^2 + Tr(A^{\top}A\Sigma)$$

• Inequalities are less trivial

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e) - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e) + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- e is random variable  $\rightarrow$  cost and constraints are random variables  $\rightarrow$  problem does not make sense
- IDEA Minimize expected value of cost, but *e* has zero mean  $\rightarrow$  nothing changed:

$$E||A(x+e) - a||^2 = ||Ax - a||^2 + Tr(A^{\top}A\Sigma)$$

- Inequalities are less trivial
- Chance-constrained programming: replace inequalities with their probability to be satisfied [3]:

$$p(x) = \mathsf{P}(B(x+e) + b \ge 0)$$

• Stochastic Least-Squares Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||A(x+e) - a||^2\\ \text{subject to} & B(x+e) + b \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- e is random variable  $\rightarrow$  cost and constraints are random variables  $\rightarrow$  problem does not make sense
- IDEA Minimize expected value of cost, but *e* has zero mean  $\rightarrow$  nothing changed:

$$E||A(x+e) - a||^2 = ||Ax - a||^2 + Tr(A^{\top}A\Sigma)$$

- Inequalities are less trivial
- Chance-constrained programming: replace inequalities with their probability to be satisfied [3]:

$$p(x) = \mathsf{P}(B(x+e) + b \ge 0)$$

• p(.) not convex (in general)  $\rightarrow$  not wise to use it directly!

• Do not use non-convex function p(.),

- Do not use non-convex function p(.),
- use convex function  $R(.) = -\log p(.)$

- Do not use non-convex function p(.),
- use convex function  $R(.) = -\log p(.)$
- Add R(.) to cost function → trade-off performance (i.e. small cost) and robustness:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 + wR(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Bx + b \geq 0, \end{array}$$

- Do not use non-convex function p(.),
- use convex function  $R(.) = -\log p(.)$
- Add R(.) to cost function → trade-off performance (i.e. small cost) and robustness:

minimize 
$$||Ax - a||^2 + wR(x)$$
  
subject to  $Bx + b \ge 0$ ,

• where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  weighs importance of robustness with respect to cost

- Do not use non-convex function p(.),
- use convex function  $R(.) = -\log p(.)$
- Add R(.) to cost function → trade-off performance (i.e. small cost) and robustness:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 + wR(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Bx + b \geq 0, \end{array}$$

- where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  weighs importance of robustness with respect to cost
- Keep deterministic inequalities to avoid violating them (it may happen if *w* not large enough)

- Do not use non-convex function p(.),
- use convex function  $R(.) = -\log p(.)$
- Add R(.) to cost function → trade-off performance (i.e. small cost) and robustness:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & ||Ax - a||^2 + wR(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Bx + b \geq 0, \end{array}$$

- where  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  weighs importance of robustness with respect to cost
- Keep deterministic inequalities to avoid violating them (it may happen if *w* not large enough)
- Alternative: no trade off  $\rightarrow$  apply strict prioritization approach!

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \geq -b - Bx)$$

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \ge -b - Bx)$$

• No analytical expression

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \geq -b - Bx)$$

- No analytical expression
- Numerical techniques [2] are too slow for control (e.g., 0.5 s for 90 inequalities and 30 variables)

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \geq -b - Bx)$$

- No analytical expression
- Numerical techniques [2] are too slow for control (e.g., 0.5 s for 90 inequalities and 30 variables)
- IDEA consider probabilities of individual inequalities rather of all of them:

$$p_{ind}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0),$$

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \geq -b - Bx)$$

- No analytical expression
- Numerical techniques [2] are too slow for control (e.g., 0.5 s for 90 inequalities and 30 variables)
- IDEA consider probabilities of individual inequalities rather of all of them:

$$p_{ind}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0),$$

• equivalent to neglecting off-diagonal terms of covariance matrix

• To solve Stochastic LSP we need to evaluate CDF of  $e_B = Be \sim \mathcal{N}$ :

$$P(e_B \geq -b - Bx)$$

- No analytical expression
- Numerical techniques [2] are too slow for control (e.g., 0.5 s for 90 inequalities and 30 variables)
- IDEA consider probabilities of individual inequalities rather of all of them:

$$p_{ind}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0),$$

- equivalent to neglecting off-diagonal terms of covariance matrix
- evaluate *m* univariate CDFs rather than one multivariate CDF  $\rightarrow$  much faster!

• GOAL compute

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0) = \mathsf{P}(e_{B_i} \ge -B_i x - b_i)$$

• GOAL compute

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i\geq 0)=\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i}\geq -B_ix-b_i)$$

• where  $e_{B_i} = B_i e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{B_i})$ , where  $\sigma_{B_i} = \sigma_i^2 B_i B_i^\top$ 

• GOAL compute

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i\geq 0)=\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i}\geq -B_ix-b_i)$$

• where 
$$e_{B_i} = B_i e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{B_i})$$
, where  $\sigma_{B_i} = \sigma_i^2 B_i B_i^\top$ 

• Rewrite in terms of CDF function  $F_{B_i}$ :

$$\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i} \leq B_i x + b_i) = F_{B_i}(B_i x + b_i)$$

• GOAL compute

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i\geq 0)=\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i}\geq -B_ix-b_i)$$

- where  $e_{B_i} = B_i e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{B_i})$ , where  $\sigma_{B_i} = \sigma_i^2 B_i B_i^\top$
- Rewrite in terms of CDF function  $F_{B_i}$ :

$$\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i} \leq B_i x + b_i) = F_{B_i}(B_i x + b_i)$$

 Most univariate distributions have analytical CDF, Gaussian does not, but accurate & fast approximations exist (e.g., polynomials)

• GOAL compute

$$\mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i\geq 0)=\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i}\geq -B_ix-b_i)$$

- where  $e_{B_i} = B_i e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{B_i})$ , where  $\sigma_{B_i} = \sigma_i^2 B_i B_i^\top$
- Rewrite in terms of CDF function  $F_{B_i}$ :

$$\mathsf{P}(e_{B_i} \leq B_i x + b_i) = F_{B_i}(B_i x + b_i)$$

- Most univariate distributions have analytical CDF, Gaussian does not, but accurate & fast approximations exist (e.g., polynomials)
- Final robust problem:

minimize 
$$||Ax - a||^2 - w \sum_{i=1}^m \log F_{B_i}(B_i x + b_i)$$
  
subject to  $Bx + b \ge 0$ 

• Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$ 

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau=\tau^{\mathit{des}}+e$
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau=\tau^{\mathit{des}}+e$
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- Replace cost function with its expected value ightarrow nothing changes

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau=\tau^{\mathit{des}}+e$
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- Replace cost function with its expected value  $\rightarrow$  nothing changes
- Replace inequalities with their probability to be satisfied:

 $p(x) = \mathsf{P}(B(x+e) + b \ge 0)$ 

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- Replace cost function with its expected value ightarrow nothing changes
- Replace inequalities with their probability to be satisfied:

$$p(x) = \mathsf{P}(B(x+e) + b \ge 0)$$

• Computing multi-variate CDF is too slow  $\rightarrow$  approximate it as product of univariate CDF:

$$p(x) \approx \prod_{i=1}^m \mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0)$$

- Assume additive uncertainties on joint torques:  $\tau = \tau^{des} + e$
- Assume *e* is Gaussian random variable:  $e \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
- Replace cost function with its expected value ightarrow nothing changes
- Replace inequalities with their probability to be satisfied:

$$p(x) = \mathsf{P}(B(x+e) + b \ge 0)$$

• Computing multi-variate CDF is too slow  $\rightarrow$  approximate it as product of univariate CDF:

$$p(x) pprox \prod_{i=1}^m \mathsf{P}(B_i(x+e)+b_i \ge 0))$$

• Final problem is nonlinear, convex and smooth

#### A. Del Prete and N. Mansard.

Robustness to Joint-Torque Tracking Errors in Task-Space Inverse Dynamics.

IEEE Transaction on Robotics, 32(5):1091 - 1105, 2016.

A. Genz.

**Numerical computation of multivariate normal probabilities.** *Journal of computational and graphical statistics*, 1(2):140–149, 1992.



R. Henrion.

Introduction to Chance Constrained Programming.

Technical report, 2004.